

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,865 01/29/		2/2004	Hongyong Zhang	0756-7252	2631	
31780 7.	590	12/07/2005		EXAMINER		
ERIC ROBIN	ISON	SMOOT, STEPHEN W				
PMB 955 21010 SOUTH	BANK ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
POTOMAC FA				2813		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A . P	<u> </u>	1 A 1:	$\overline{n}$
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
			865	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
			W. Smoot	2813	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	S
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN THE MA	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of unication. lutory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2 Since this application is in condition followed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action is or allowance exce <sub>l</sub>	non-final. ot for formal matters, pro		rits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the appending of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from c			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 20 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	004 is/are: a)⊠ action to the drawing(s the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se tired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of  2. Certified copies of the priority of  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur nal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>08/504,087</u> . ed in this National Stag	je
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P <sup>-</sup> mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I or No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-29-04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)

Application/Control Number: 10/765,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 07 October 2005.

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-24, and cancellation of the non-elected claims, claims 25-28, in the reply received on 07 October 2005 is acknowledged.

# **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422

Application/Control Number: 10/765,865

Art Unit: 2813

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,689,651 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applicant's invention claims the genus "light attenuating means", while the '651 patent claims the species "at least one light attenuating filter", which is a type of light attenuating means. Accordingly, claims 1-24 of the '651 patent have all of the limitations as respectively set forth in claims 1-24 of the applicant's invention.

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Noguchi et al. teach a method of crystallizing an amorphous silicon film by using a laser equipped with an oscillator, an attenuator, and a homogenizer.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,865 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W. Smoot whose telephone number is 571-272-1698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 am to 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**SWS** 

STEPHEN W. SMOOT PRIMARY EXAMINER